

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DA | ATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------|-----------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/993,787 | 3,787 11/14/2001 | | Mark A. Kirkpatrick | 60027.0074US01 | 8852 |
| 39262 | 7590 00 | 6/17/2005 | | EXAMINER | |
| | TH CORPORA | TION | NAWAZ, ASAD M | | |
| P.O. BOX 2903 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903 | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | | 2155 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 06/17/2005 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

|) | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 09/993,787 | KIRKPATRICK ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Asad M. Nawaz | 2155 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rimplement of the period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by stated and the period for reply will. - State of the provided by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reeply within the statutory minimum of thirt od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB | eply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 | March 2005. | • | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ T | his action is non-final. | · | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is | | | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice unde | r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D |). 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | • | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are pending in the application | on. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-17</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and | d/or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami | iner. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 November 2001 is | s/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ | objected to by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | - · · · | • • | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr | | • • • | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the | Examiner. Note the attached | Office Action or form P1O-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei | gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § | 3 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docume | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docume | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p | | received in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a l | , | received | | | | |
| det the attached detailed Office addition for a r | ist of the defined doples not | Teocived. | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date | | | | |
| Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date | | nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |
| .S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office | Action Summary | Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050602 | | | | |

Art Unit: 2155

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. This action is responsive to the amendment filed on March 21, 2005. Claims 10-12 have been amended to overcome objection to claims 10-13. Claims 1, 8, and 14 are independent. Claims 1-17 remain pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nelson et al (US Patent No. 5,999,948) hereinafter referred to as Nelson.

As to claim 1, Nelson teaches a method for presenting forms and publishing form data, said method comprising: maintaining a field engine table, said table comprising data identifying one or more fields of a form.(Abstract; col 3, lines 17-24; col4, lines 25-39)

Receiving a request for a network resource including said form; (col 3, lines 25-36)

In response to said request, determining whether a previously compiled class file should be utilized to respond to said request (col 5, lines 34-64; col 8, lines 1-12)

Art Unit: 2155

In response to determining that a previously compiled class file should not be utilized to respond to said request, creating an executable class file capable of generating markup language for displaying said fields of said form in a web browser. (col 5, lines 1-9 and 34-64; col 7, lines 46-67; col 8, lines 1-12)

Generating markup language by executing said class file and returning said markup language as a response to said request for a network resource. (col 3, lines 25-36; col 5, lines 34-64)

Claims 8 and 14 are essentially the system and computer-medium for the method claim above and are thus rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 2, Nelson teaches the method of claim 1, wherein determining whether a previously compiled class file should be utilized comprises determining whether said request for said network resource was a first request for said network resource. (col 7, lines 46-60; col 8, lines 1-12)

As to claim 3, Nelson teaches the method of claim 1, wherein determining whether a previously compiled class file should be utilized comprises determining whether said request for said network resource was a first request for said network resource or whether a web server operative to provide said network resource was reset since the last time said network resource was accessed. (col 7, lines 46-60; col 8, lines 1-12)

Claims 9 and 16 are essentially the system and computer-medium for the method claim above and are thus rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 4, Nelson teaches the method of claim 1, wherein said field engine

Art Unit: 2155

table further comprises data indicating a data type for each of said fields. (col 4, lines 25-39; col 6, lines 44-46)

Claim 10 is essentially the system and computer-medium for the method claim above and is thus rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 5, Nelson teaches the method of claim 4, wherein said field engine table further comprises a form name and a version number corresponding to each of said fields (col 3, lines 8-12; col 8, lines 57-61)

Claim 11 is essentially the system and computer-medium for the method claim above and is thus rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 6, Nelson teaches the method of claim 5, wherein the said field engine table further comprises field names for each of said fields of said form and wherein the said field names are associated with each of said fields by said class file.(col 3, lines 25-36; col 4, lines 25-39; col 8, lines 1-12)

Claims 12 and 15 are essentially the system and computer-medium for the method claim above and are thus rejected under similar rationale.

As to claim 7, Nelson teaches the method of clam 6, further comprising receiving a request to publish response data associated with each of said field names; (col 5, lines 36-64)

And storing said response data associated with each of said field names in an output table having fields with names identical to said field names.(col 8, lines 57-61; col 13, lines 3-17)

Art Unit: 2155

Claims 13 and 17 are essentially the system and computer-medium for the method claim above and are thus rejected under similar rationale.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicant argues in substance that Nelson does not disclose the "in response to determining that a previously compiled class file should not be utilized to respond to the request, creating an executable class file capable of generating markup language for displaying the fields of the form in a web browser".
- 6. In response, Nelson does disclose "in response to determining that a previously compiled class file should not be utilized to respond to the request, creating an executable class file capable of generating markup language for displaying the fields of the form in a web browser". More specifically, Nelson teaches an FDL file that can be created and modified during run time operations. (col 3, lines 17-24; col 7, lines 14-24) Nelson also teaches that the user writes an FDL file and it is placed in a Data Collection based upon an absence of that file. This could be due to the initial case where there are no files to be added or another scenario. (col 5, lines 36-42) Furthermore, Nelson discloses that an FDL file can be added or modified during runtime because the application processes form descriptions on demand rather than the alleged FDL file being "created and cataloged well before [the] request to display a page is made" (col 7, lines 46-60) Nelson further teaches a scenario in which the FDL file is deemed

Art Unit: 2155

inappropriate. The file can be modified (or created) and incorporated into the form. (col 7, lines 64-67) Thus, Nelson meets the scope of the limitation as claimed.

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asad M. Nawaz whose telephone number is (571) 272-3988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ario Etienne can be reached on (571) 272-4001. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2155

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

AMN

Bhorat Boost.

BHARAT BAROT

PRIMARY EXAMINER